



Inception Nucleus Nets Configurations							
Inception	Inception-FA	Inception-FI	Inception-BN				
289 K	789 K	479 K	292 K				
	Input	(32000×1)					
Conv1D,32,80,4		Inception Nucleus:	Conv1D,32,80,4 with BN				
		Conv1D,32,60,4					
		Conv1D,[32,80,4]×2					
		Conv1D,[32,100,4]×2					
Inception Nucleus:	eption Nucleus: Inception Nucleus: Inception Nucleus:		Inception Nucleus:				
Conv1D,64,4,4	Conv1D,64,20,4	Conv1D,64,4,4	Conv1D,64,4,4 - BN				
Conv1D,[64,8,4]×2	Conv1D,[64,40,4]×2	Conv1D,[64,8,4]×2	Conv1D,[64,8,4]×2-BN				
Conv1D,[64,16,4]×2	Conv1D,[64,60,4]×2	Conv1D,[64,16,4]×2	Conv1D,[64,16,4]×2-BN				
Max Pooling 1D, 64,10,1							
Reshape (put the channels first)							
	$Conv2D, 32, 3 \times 3, 1$		$Conv2D, 32, 3 \times 3, -BN$				
Max Pooling $2D, 32, 2 \times 2, 2$							
	$Conv2D, 64, 3 \times 3, 1$		$Conv2D, 64, 3 \times 3, 1-BN$				
$Conv2D, 64, 3 \times 3, 1$			$Conv2D, 64, 3 \times 3, 1-BN$				
Max Pooling 2D,64, $2 \times 2,2$							
Conv2D,128,3 \times 3,1			$Conv2D, 128, 3 \times 3, 1-BN$				
Max Pooling 2D,128,2 \times 2,2							
Conv2D,10,1 × 1,1			$Conv2D, 10, 1 \times 1, 1-BN$				
Global Average Pooling							
Softmax							

Results on Urbansound 8k Dataset

Comparing our model with the state-of-the-art approaches:

Model	Test	# Parameters
M3-fc [9]	46.82%	129M
M5-fc [9]	62.76%	18M
M11-fc [9]	68.29%	1.8M
M18-fc [9]	64.93%	8.7M
M18-fc [9]	64.93%	8.7M
RCNN [19]	71.68%	3.7M
ACLNet [11]	65.32%	2M
EnvNet-v2 [20]	78%	101M
PiczakCNN [21]	73%	26M
VGG [22]	70%	77M
Inception Nucleus-BN (Ours)	83.2 %	292K
Inception Nucleus-FA (Ours)	70.9 %	789K
Inception Nucleus-FI (Ours)	75.3 %	479K
Inception Nucleus (Ours)	88.4 %	289K

www.PosterPresentations.c

End-to-End Sound Classification On Loihi Neuromorphic Chip Mohammad K. Ebrahimpour^{1,2}, Timothy M. Shea², Andreea Danielescu², David C. Noelle¹, Christopher T. Kello¹ ¹University of California, Merced, ²Accenture Labs

We are analyzing the early filters as well as deep filters to see what has been learned. Visualization of the filters in the first layer reveals the network is learning wavelet-like filters. We demonstrate 12 random filters here.

Translated network architecture. We Train the network using Gpus and then translating the learned network to spiking neural network and we will port it on the Loihi chip.

Trained ANN

A typical titan GPU needs nearly 110x more energy than a Loihi for the inference.



HARDWARE	IDLE (W)	RUNNING (W)	DYNAMIC (W)	INF/SEC	Joules/Inf
GPU	14.97	37.83	22.86	770.39	0.0298
CPU Jetson	$17.01 \\ 2.64$	$\begin{array}{r} 28.48 \\ 4.98 \end{array}$	11.47 2.34	1813.63 419	0.0063 0.0056
MOVIDIUS	0.210	0.647	0.437	300	0.0015
Loihi	0.029	0.110	0.081	296	0.00027



Mean power consumption and energy cost per inference across hardware devices.







Summary:

- We proposed a novel end-to-end architecture that takes a raw waveform input and maps it to labels without any feature extraction.
 - We analyzed the learned filters and we noticed that the network in the very beginning is learning waveletlike filters and deeper representations are semantically meaningful.
 - We translate the network on the Loihi neuromorphic chip with some modifications.
 - The results suggest that Loihi chips are very efficient in power since they are nearly 110x more efficient than GPUs on the inference.